



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/955,979 | 09/20/2001 | Jang Jin Yoo | 041501-5452 | 1915 | |
| 9629 | 7590 03/11/2002 | | | | |
| MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | YLVANIA AVENUE N DN, DC 20004 | W | NGUYEN | NGUYEN, VIET B | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2871 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/11/2002 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| | 09/955,979 | YOO ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Viet B Nguyen | 2871 | | | |
| Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sheet with the corresponding address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 S</u> | September 2001 . | | | | |
| | s action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)☑ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 September 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: | | | | | |
| .S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | |



Application/Control Number: 09/955,979

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the first and second substrates of claims 1 and 8 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Rho et al article cited by Applicant in view of Woo et al (U.S. 6,067,140).

Application/Control Number: 09/955,979

Art Unit: 2871

Regarding claims 1-14, the Rho et al article disclose an LCD device similar to that of the instant claims. In particular, the liquid crystal device disclosed on page 159 195 and shown in figure 1 comprise of a pair of substrates, a first transparent electrode on the first substrate having a plurality of slit patterns (1 and 5) so the liquid crystal layer has different alignment directions by each slit pattern, a second transparent electrode on the second substrate, and a liquid crystal layer between the two substrates. Further, Rho discloses that disclination lines are formed at the regions 1,3, and 5 (Fig.1, p.195).

The only difference between the liquid crystal display of the Rho et al article and that of the instant claim are that at least one light-shielding layer is below the first electrode, the light-shielding layer is located below a middle portion of the first electrode and below each slit, and an insulating film on an entire surface of the first substrate. However, Woo et al disclose a liquid crystal display device comprising of an insulating layer (115) over the first substrate (101), a light-shielding layer (130) on the first substrate, and the light-shielding layer (130) is below the middle portion of the first electrode (121). The light-shielding layer must be formed at the boundary region (disclination line) to shield light entering this region (column 1, lines 57-59). Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art in view of Woo et al to employ a light-shielding layer under each slit (1 and 5) and at the middle portion of the first electrode (3) for preventing leakage at the disclination lines, thereby to improve contrast.

Application/Control Number: 09/955,979

Art Unit: 2871

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet B Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-1933. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. through Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William L Sikes can be reached on 703-308-4842. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-7722.

VN.

Viet B Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2871

V.N. March 7, 2002

Hellan L. Sikes

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800